



Schedule of Minor Amendments to the Local Plan 2030

All of the minor amendments listed below have already been included within the Local Plan 2030 Submission version (December 2017) following the 'Main Changes' consultation, and are set out here only in the interests of transparency.

Explanation of the Minor Amendment is provided in italics. Changes to text are also expressed either in the conventional form of ~~strike through~~ for deletions and underlining for additions of text.

The page numbers, paragraph numbering and Policy references refer to the Regulation 19 Version of the Local Plan 2030 or 'Main Change' section and cross reference the new page/paragraph number in the Submission Version.

MA NO	Page/Policy/Para and section or MC ref of Reg 19 documents	Page/Para of Submission Version	Reason /Related Rep No.	Minor Amendment (MA)																								
1	Whole Document & Schedule of Policies			<p><i>Paragraphs numbers: Please note that paragraph numbering is consistent with the 2016 version of the plan, and any subsequent inserted paragraphs are shown in italics with temporary references. These will be standardised following adoption.</i></p> <p><i>Site Policy Maps: These have been standardised throughout the document.</i></p> <p><i>Policy references: Where policy references have been amended or deleted following Regulation 19 consultation stages, these are now explained within the Schedule of Policies (Chapter 1)</i></p>																								
2	Page 11 Vision para 3.10	3.10 Page 12	Rep MCLP 865,694, 771, 697, 113	<p><i>Amend paragraph as follows:</i></p> <p>The other rural service centres of Charing, Hamstreet and Wye will remain important providers of shops and services, whilst delivering new development of a scale appropriate to the individual characteristics of the settlement. Smaller rural settlements will also provide smaller scale new development, to help sustain local communities, whilst conserving and enhancing. <u>Development within all the rural settlements must conserve and enhance the historic centres and heritage and natural assets.</u></p>																								
3	Housing Windfalls section of MC4	Paragraph 3.25.4 Page 18	Factual update	Based on these factors it is assumed that an additional 900 <u>950</u> units will be delivered from windfall sites between 2021 and 2030, at an annual rate of 100 dwellings per year, well below the historic trends for windfall completions. This is in addition to the 680 <u>749</u> housing windfalls that currently have planning permission.																								
4	Housing Target section of MC4 - Table 1	Page 18	Factual update	<p><i>Amend Table 1 - The overall housing profile as follows:</i></p> <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>Objectively Assessed Need</td> <td>15,675</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Future Proofing</td> <td>442</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The Housing Target (2011-2030)</td> <td>16,120</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Delivered since 2011</td> <td>3,177</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Residual Requirement</td> <td>12,943</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Extant commitments (previously allocated sites – some with permission)</td> <td>2,870 <u>3,001</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Extant windfalls*</td> <td>649 <u>749</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Chilmington Green</td> <td>2,500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Future Windfalls</td> <td>900 <u>950</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Proposed Allocations**</td> <td>7110 <u>6,749</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>14,029 13,949</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Contingency buffer</td> <td>1,086 <u>1,006</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Objectively Assessed Need	15,675	Future Proofing	442	The Housing Target (2011-2030)	16,120	Delivered since 2011	3,177	Residual Requirement	12,943	Extant commitments (previously allocated sites – some with permission)	2,870 <u>3,001</u>	Extant windfalls*	649 <u>749</u>	Chilmington Green	2,500	Future Windfalls	900 <u>950</u>	Proposed Allocations**	7110 <u>6,749</u>	TOTAL	14,029 13,949	Contingency buffer	1,086 <u>1,006</u>
Objectively Assessed Need	15,675																											
Future Proofing	442																											
The Housing Target (2011-2030)	16,120																											
Delivered since 2011	3,177																											
Residual Requirement	12,943																											
Extant commitments (previously allocated sites – some with permission)	2,870 <u>3,001</u>																											
Extant windfalls*	649 <u>749</u>																											
Chilmington Green	2,500																											
Future Windfalls	900 <u>950</u>																											
Proposed Allocations**	7110 <u>6,749</u>																											
TOTAL	14,029 13,949																											
Contingency buffer	1,086 <u>1,006</u>																											


5	The Borough Profile section of MC4 and Policy SP2	3.34.2 Page 23	Factual update	<p><i>Amend paragraph 3.34.2 and Policy SP2 as follows:</i></p> <p>3.34.2 These characteristics are clearly evidenced in the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal to this Plan and are reflected in the Plan’s distribution strategy which identifies new housing land allocations to deliver around 5,865 <u>5,159</u> dwellings in and around Ashford and 1,245 <u>1,590</u> dwellings in the rural parts of the Borough.</p> <p>POLICY SP2</p> <p>...With this in mind, in addition to existing commitments, new land allocations to deliver 5,865 <u>5,159</u> dwellings are proposed.</p> <p>Development in the rural areas will be of a scale that is consistent with the relevant settlement’s accessibility, infrastructure provision, level of services available, suitability of sites and environmental sensitivity. With this in mind, in addition to existing commitments, new land allocations to deliver 1,245 <u>1,590</u> dwellings are proposed...</p>
6	Urban housing market section of MC4	3.35.4 Page 24	Factual update	<p><i>Correction.</i></p> <p>[..] until such time as Junction 10a is in place (due to be completed in mid 2019 <u>2020</u>)</p>
7	Page 36 paragraph 3.160 Design Process	3.160 Page 41	Rep MCLP 1037	<p><i>Amend paragraph as follows:</i></p> <p>“local design guidance including <u>adopted neighbourhood plans</u>, the Kent Design Guide, development briefs [...]”</p>
8	Policy S1, Pg43, para 4.8 Commercial Quarter	4.8 Page 50	Factual update	<p><i>Amend paragraph as follows:</i></p> <p>As the development progresses the remaining uses on the site – the Kent Woolgrowers and the Royal Mail –, <u>Royal Mail - who currently remain on the site -</u> have previously indicated that they will seek to relocate, creating space for a further series of phased developments.</p>
9	Page 52 paragraph 4.43 Court Lodge	4.43 Page 60	Rep MCLP 26, 334, 991	<p><i>Amend paragraph as follows:</i></p> <p>The masterplan for the site will establish a minimum of 4 phases for the development. The initial phases shall include the delivery of the enabling works to the floodplain and the establishment of the ecological reserve areas to allow translocation of protected species. <u>Masterplanning of this site shall need to take account of any emerging proposals for Sites S4 and S5 in this Plan, in particular the approach to the provision of infrastructure and services in the area.</u></p>
10	Page 55 paragraph 4.46 Land north of Steeds Lane and Magpie Hall Road	4.46 Page 63	Rep MCLP 26	<p><i>Amend paragraph as follows:</i></p> <p>This site is proposed for residential development with an indicative capacity of 400 dwellings, although a final site capacity should be determined following a detailed and comprehensive site masterplanning exercise that should inform any planning permission for development on the site. <u>Masterplanning of this site shall need to take account of any emerging proposals for Sites S3 and S5 in this Plan, in particular the approach to the provision of infrastructure and services in the area.</u></p>
11	Page 61 paragraph 4.62 Land South of Pound Lane	4.62 Page 68	Rep MCLP 736	<p><i>Amend paragraph as follows:</i></p> <p>[..]Development of this site will need to take account of the strategic context provided by the nearby Court Lodge Farm and Steeds lane/Magpie Hall Road proposed allocations and, in particular, the key objective of avoiding coalescence of development areas. <u>Masterplanning of this site shall need to take account of any emerging proposals for Sites S3 and S4 in this Plan, in particular the approach to the provision of infrastructure and services in the area.</u></p>
12	Paragraph 4.134 Former K College, Jemmett Road	4.134 Page 92	Rep MCLP 785 Admin correction	<p><i>Amend final sentence of paragraph 4.134 to read:</i></p> <p>“[...] with recommendations from Kent Highway Services <u>Kent County Council Highways and Transportation.</u>”</p>

13	Page 119 paragraph 4.265 Tenterden Southern Extension Phase B	4.265 Page 130	Rep MCLP 583	<i>Amend as follows:</i> “..joining the existing woodland to the east (<u>including Local Wildlife Site AS05</u>), and effectively [...]”
14	Page 124 Para 4.283 Appledore - The Street	4.283 Page 135	Correction	<i>Amend paragraph as follows:</i> The Proposed Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay Ramsar Site will lies immediately to the north east of the village along the Royal Military Canal. [...] Development that will have an adverse effect on the integrity of the proposed Ramsar site will not be permitted.
15	Page 125 Policy S26 Appledore, The Street	Page 136	Rep MCLP 473 And clarification	<i>Amend Policy S26 criterion d) and insert f) as follows:</i> d) provide an Environmental Impact Assessment Study to address any potential adverse impacts of the proposals on the biodiversity of the Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay Ramsar and SPA sites and how they can be avoided or <u>adequately</u> mitigated; f) Provide a connection to the nearest point of adequate capacity in the sewerage network in collaboration with the service provider.
16	Page 133 Policy S30 Egerton - Land on New Road	Page 145	Rep MCLP 795	<i>Amend criteria d. as follows:</i> “[...] <u>connections to existing rural routes, including the Greensand Way, facilitating access between</u> connections to the countryside, Harmers Way and local services;”
17	Page 136 Policy S31 Hamstreet - Land North of St. Mary's Close	Page 148	Rep MCLP 115 , 998	<i>Amend Policy criterion k) as follows:</i> k) provide an Environmental Impact Assessment Study to address any potential adverse impacts of the proposals on the biodiversity of the Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay Ramsar and SPA sites and how they can be avoided or <u>adequately</u> mitigated;
18	Page 138 Policy S32 Hamstreet - Land at Parker Farm	Page 150	Rep MCLP 116	<i>Amend Policy criterion g) as follows:</i> g) provide an Environmental Impact Assessment Study to address any potential adverse impacts of the proposals on the biodiversity of the Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay Ramsar and SPA sites and how they can be avoided or <u>adequately</u> mitigated;
19	Supporting paragraphs to S47 in MC90 Land east of Hothfield Mill, A20	Pages 181-182 Paragraphs 4.433 and 4.434	Rep MCLP 488, 121, 580, 844, 719	<i>Amend fourth paragraph of supporting text:</i> A railway line and the M20 directly to the north of the site disconnects it from the wider countryside and the North <u>Kent</u> Downs AONB. <i>Add additional paragraph after fourth paragraph :</i> <u>Hothfield Common SSSI is situated within close proximity to this site and is already under considerable pressure from recreational use. Any development proposals in this location need to give careful consideration to potential additional recreational use of the common, and contributions towards appropriate mitigation measures will be required. These should include on-site provision of informal open space which meets additional recreation pressures such as dog walking. Development proposals must ensure that any mitigation or enhancement as a result of development reflects the local habitats and species, as outlined in the Biodiversity Opportunity Area (BOA) guidelines for the BOA of Mid Kent Greensand and Gault. Liaison with the Council and Kent Wildlife Trust will be necessary to ensure that appropriate measures are defined and delivered as part of the wider programme for the management of the SSSI.</u>
20	Policy S47 – MC90 Land east of Hothfield Mill, A20	Page 183	Rep MCLP 488 121, 580, 844, 719	<i>Amend criterion c) as follows:</i> [...] in accordance with recommendations from Kent Highway Services <u>Kent County Council Highways and Transportation</u> <i>Amend criterion d) as follows:</i> d) Provide appropriate landscaping on the eastern built boundary, <u>additional woodland planting to the northern boundary of the developable area</u> and retain and improve existing screening around the site boundaries; <i>Add new criterion as follows:</i> <u>h) Ensure that any indirect impact on the Hothfield Common SSSI is suitably mitigated, including provision of on-site recreation space. Mitigation measures must reflect BOA guidelines and be addressed in consultation with Kent Wildlife Trust.</u>

21	Policy S48 – MC91 Rear of Holiday Inn, A20	Page 186	Rep MCLP 476	<i>Amend policy to add:</i> j) Provide future access to the existing sewerage infrastructure for maintenance and upsizing purposes.
22	Policy S49 – MC92 Land north of Tutt Hill, A20	Page 187	Rep MCLP 1	<i>Amend first paragraph of supporting text:</i> “The site is bounded by the A20 in <u>to the east west</u> , the M20 to the north and the Ashford—Maidstone High Speed 1 railway line forms the north eastern boundary of the site. and <u>To the south east lie</u> the rear of <u>the</u> properties that front onto Westwell Lane.’
23	Policy S50 – MC93 Land at Caldecott, Smeeth	n/a	Rep MCLP 1009	Site Policy S50 – Land at Caldecott, Smeeth is deleted
24	Supporting paragraphs to Policy S53 – MC96 Nats Lane, Brook	Page 195 Para 4.478	Rep MCLP 490	<i>Amend first sentence of second paragraph :</i> The site is located within the North Kent Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and has views of the surrounding countryside.
25	Policy S53 – MC96 Nats Lane, Brook	Page 196	consistency	<i>Amend policy criterion as follows:</i> f) provide an Environmental Impact Assessment Study to address any potential adverse impacts of the proposals on the biodiversity of Wye and Crundale SAC and SSSI sites and how they can be avoided or <u>adequately</u> mitigated;
26	Policy S54 – MC97 Land at Clockhouse, Challock	Page 198	Rep MCLP 125	<i>Amend criterion a):</i> a) be designed and laid out in such a way as to preserve <u>conserve and enhance</u> the character of the AONB and [..]
27	Supporting paragraphs to S55 – MC98 Land Adjacent to Poppyfields, Charing	Page 199 Para 4.489	Rep MCLP 492	<i>Amend last sentence of second paragraph:</i> The alignment of the A20 is the boundary of the North Kent Downs AONB. <i>Add additional text to the end of second paragraph of supporting text:</i> <u>The site is therefore located within the setting of the Kent Downs AONB. In order to minimise any impact on the AONB including views from the North Downs escarpment, development here should be informed by an LVIA and should be designed and laid out in such a way as to take account of the impact on the character and setting of the AONB.</u>
28	Policy S55 – MC98 Land Adjacent to Poppyfields, Charing	Page 201	Rep MCLP 492, 482	<i>Amend final sentence of criterion a)</i> [..] The development <u>should be comprised of a mix of dwelling types a maximum of two storeys in height, and</u> should take account of the residential amenity of neighbouring occupiers; <i>Add criterion:</i> h) Provide future access to the existing sewerage infrastructure for maintenance and upsizing purposes.
29	Supporting paragraphs to Policy S56	Page 203 Paragraph 4.499	Rep MCLP 493	<i>Amend paragraph as follows:</i> As Branch Road is a key rural entrance road to the settlement, the trees and natural features must be retained within the proposal and the current gaps in the tree boundary on the southern edge should be planted <u>with additional trees</u> to enhance the screening and lessen the visibility of the new development from the south, where the site is visible from the busy A28.
30	Policy S56 – MC99 Branch Road, Chilham	Page 204	Rep MCLP 127, 493, 874 Correction	<i>Amend criterion e):</i> e) Retain and enhance the hedge and tree boundaries within and around the site, and make enhancements to the southern boundary ensuring the setting of the character of the Kent Downs AONB <u>is preserved conserved and enhanced</u> and the development is well screened from the wider area;

31	Policy S57 – MC100 Warehorne Road, Hamstreet	Page 207	Rep MCLP 483, 128	<p><i>Amend policy criterion j) as follows:</i></p> <p>j) provide an Environmental Impact Assessment Study to address any potential adverse impacts of the proposals on the biodiversity of the Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay Ramsar and SPA sites and how they can be avoided or <u>adequately</u> mitigated;</p> <p><i>And new criterion as follows:</i></p> <p><u>k) Provide future access to the existing sewerage infrastructure for maintenance and upsizing purposes.</u></p>
32	Policy S58 – MC101 Stevenson Bros - A28, High Halden	Page 210	Rep MCLP 644, 825	<p><i>Amend policy criterion b) as follows:</i></p> <p>b. Include a comprehensive landscaping scheme that seeks to make provision for the retention and enhancement of existing natural features within the site. <u>This should include the retention and integration of the existing on-site ponds.</u> In addition, proposed new landscaping should provide generous soft landscaping along the western edge of the site in order to lessen its visual impact;</p> <p><i>Add new criterion as follows:</i></p> <p><u>g. Retain and enhance the PRoW that runs through the site and provide new pedestrian and cycle routes throughout the development including connections to the existing PRoW network.</u></p>
33	Policy S61 – MC104 Land between Lloyds Green and Jubilee Fields, Wittersham	Page 219	Rep MCLP 129, 484	<p><i>Amend policy criterion b) as follows:</i></p> <p>b) be designed and laid out in such a way as to <u>conserve and enhance</u> protect the character and setting of the AONB [..]</p> <p><i>And new criterion as follows:</i></p> <p><u>h) Provide future access to the existing sewerage infrastructure for maintenance and upsizing purposes.</u></p>
34	Policy S62 – MC105 Land off Appledore Road, Woodchurch	Page 221	Rep MCLP 1152, 829, 485	<p><i>Amend paragraph and Policy criterion b) as follows:</i></p> <p>Access must be provided from Bridge Close, as shown <u>indicatively</u> on the policies map.</p> <p>b) Provide primary vehicle access from Bridge Close, as shown <u>indicatively</u> on the policies map;</p> <p><i>Add new criterion as follows:</i></p> <p><u>f) Provide future access to the existing sewerage infrastructure for maintenance and upsizing purposes</u></p>
35	Page 165 paragraph 5.4 Affordable Housing	Page 222	Rep MCLP 974	<p><i>Amend paragraphs 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6 as follows :</i></p> <p>5.4 Affordable housing for the purposes of this policy includes affordable/social rent and affordable home ownership products which includes starter homes, <u>rent to buy</u> and shared ownership products, as set out in the Housing White Paper 2017.</p> <p><i>Amend second sentence of paragraph 5.5:</i></p> <p>Within this requirement, the policy also seeks a minimum requirement for shared ownership <u>and rent to buy products</u> specifically, reflecting the requirement to meet local needs in the borough, balanced with what development can afford to deliver.</p> <p><i>Add the following sentence to the end of paragraph 5.6</i></p> <p><u>These areas are shown on Map 6. The implications of any subsequent boundary changes at ward or parish level on the implementation of this policy will be considered in an updated version of the Affordable Housing SPD.</u></p>
36	Page 171 paragraph 5.39 Residential Windfall Development within Settlements	Page 228	Rep MCLP 913	<p><i>Amend first sentence of para. 5.39 :</i></p> <p>In line with the NPPF and supporting PPG, it is important that suitable redevelopment opportunities for housing within the built up confines of particular settlements are allowed to come forward.</p>

37	Page 172 paragraph 5.44 Residential Windfall Development within Settlements	Page 229	Rep MCLP 1173, 1067, 866, 431, 55	<i>Amend final sentence:</i> Within these settlements, appropriate smaller scale development is acceptable in principle <u>although this should also take account of the cumulative effects of any allocated sites and any other developments with extant planning permission in the area.</u>
38	Page 175 paragraph 5.60 Housing Development Outside Settlements	Page 232	Rep MCLP 717, 721	<i>Amend final sentence of paragraph:</i> Basic day to day services such as a grocery shop, public house, play / community facilities and a primary school should be within a generally accepted easy walking distance of 800 metres in order to be considered sustainable, <u>although the specific local context may mean a higher or lower distance would be a more appropriate guide.</u>
39	Page 183 Policy HOU11 Parag 5.87 Houses of Multiple Occupation	Page 240	Admin	<i>Amend paragraph:</i> 5.87 Houses in multiple occupation (HMOs) are properties which are occupied by unrelated households that share one or more facilities such as bathrooms or kitchens. <u>HMOs can provide useful accommodation, but in many cases the property was not originally designed for such intensive residential use. HMOs are an example where a high degree of sharing facilities is typical, where living arrangements, being more intense than single family occupation.</u>
40	Page 190 Policy HOU16 Traveller Accommodation Footnotes	Pages 246 & 247	Admin	<i>Amend footnote references:</i> Footnote ⁸⁷ See <u>ABC update paper (June 2016) 5yr Land Supply Position Statement on Traveller Sites</u> Footnote ⁸⁸ See <u>5yr Land Supply Position Statement on Traveller Sites</u>
41	new MC61 paragraph below 5.206 Local and Village Centres	Page 265 Para 5.206.1	Rep MCLP 1060	<i>Amend second sentence:</i> This allows <u>town and parish councils</u> and local community groups a fairer chance to make a bid to buy the asset on the open market.
42	M20 Junction 10 /10a Paragraph 5.223 to 5.227	Page 268	Factual Update	<i>Amend four paragraphs as follows:</i> 5.223 To this end, Highways England (HE) <u>has developed a scheme</u> are currently drawing up an application for a Development Consent Order (DCO) for a new all-movements, grade separated motorway junction site 700 metres east of the existing Junction 10 with a link road back to the existing A2070 Bad Munstereifel Road. At the time of drafting this Plan, it is expected that the DCO will be submitted to the Planning Inspectorate this summer. 5.224 In December 2017, the Secretary of State granted a Development Consent Order for the Junction 10a scheme and <u>Thereafter, HE anticipates the granting of a DCO in Autumn 2017 with a start of construction is scheduled to commence in early 2018 to follow soon after, leading to the scheme being completed and opened to traffic in August 2019 a completion date in Spring 2019.</u> Completion of the junction and associated link road will resolve any strategic junction capacity constraints on proposed allocations in this Plan but prior to this time, the management of development releases by the Council will need to continue. 5.225 In this respect, the Council proposes a pragmatic response based around a realistic assessment of the risk of the existing Junction 10 interchange becoming severely overloaded. It is accepted that until HE obtain a DCO for the Junction 10a scheme, there is a risk that the scheme may be delayed or even fail. Therefore, up to this point, it would not be prudent for the Council to accept the release of additional development that would add to the existing committed and proposed traffic at the junction. 5.226 However, now the once a DCO is granted, this should enable the works to proceed and construction of the new junction to commence. At this point, the Council considers that the risk of the junction scheme not progressing significantly reduces and <u>this</u> should allow a limited amount of additional development to be brought forward. Initially, this will be based on the amount of committed and proposed development assumed to be accommodated by the existing Junction 10 that would not be likely to be occupied by the expected opening date of Junction 10a. It will also take into account the likely traffic generation at junction 10 given the distribution of trips on the strategic network and realistic modal split assumptions contained within individual site Transport Assessments. 5.227 This approach shall also take account of the practical likelihood of development being able to be occupied prior to the completion of Junction 10a given the presence of other infrastructure constraints, market conditions and development lead-in times in order that any available capacity is utilised by developers most able to construct and occupy. The Council will impose appropriate Grampian-style conditions on grants of planning permission for relevant sites to ensure development <u>occupation is</u> releases are controlled prior to the completion of Junction 10a.

43	Page 221 Cycling Paragraph 5.273	Page 279	Rep MCLP 803	<p><i>Amend second sentence:</i></p> <p>KCC's <u>Rights of Way Improvement Plan, (currently entitled the Countryside and Coastal Access Improvement Plan)</u>, assesses [...]</p>
44	Page 221 Cycling	Page 280 Paragraph 5.275.1	Rep MCLP 804	<p><i>Amend second sentence:</i></p> <p>KCC recently consulted on its Active Travel Plan <u>Rights of Way Improvement Plan, (currently entitled the Countryside and Coastal Access Improvement Plan)</u>, which sought to [...]</p>
45	Page 232 (after 5.313) Setting of the AONB	Page 291 Paragraph 5.313.1	Rep MCLP 667 and 479	<p><i>Amend second sentence of first new paragraph under setting of the AONBs:</i></p> <p>In planning policy terms they often have an equivalent status to National Parks and are to be given the highest level of landscape protection.</p>
46	Page 232 Policy ENV3b Landscape Character and Design in the AONBs	Page 293	Rep MCLP 669 and 506	<p><i>Amend wording of Policy ENV3b as follows:</i></p> <p>Other proposals within and immediately adjoining affecting the AONBs will be permitted under the following circumstances:[...]</p>
47	Page 234 paragraph 5.322 Protecting Important Rural Features	Page 295	Rep MCLP 808	<p><i>Amend final sentence:</i></p> <p>It is important that this network is retained and, if possible, enhanced through working with the County Council to deliver its <u>Rights of Way Improvement Plan, (currently entitled the Countryside and Coastal Access Improvement Plan)</u> and the creation of clear, attractive connections to (or through) new developments.</p>
48	Page 255 Policy COM1 Parag 5.424 The Education Sector	Page 316	Admin	<p><i>Amend as follows:</i></p> <p>5.424 Broadly, this approach will continue, with the Council consulting the local education authority to determine where an education contribution should be sought. In most circumstances the Borough Council will only seek contributions from the larger schemes, in light of the S106 pooling restrictions and this is reflected in site allocation policies in this Local Plan. CIL receipts are also likely to be required.</p>
49	Page 257 Paragraph 5.432 Sport, Recreation and Play	Page 318	Rep MCLP 367	<p><i>Amend second sentence of paragraph 5.432 :</i></p> <p>These figures are derived from <u>evidence including the emerging Ashford Borough Playing Pitch Strategy</u>, alongside standards set out in the current Green Spaces and Water Environment SPD.</p>
50	Page 272; Policies Maps	Pages 332 & 334	Factual update	<p><i>Rename Chapter 7 to: POLICIES MAP WEBLINK & EXTRACTS and include new paragraph 7.1:</i></p> <p>The full interactive Local Plan 2030 policies map can be found at the following link: http://www.ashford.gov.uk/local-plan-2030.</p> <p><i>Replace the Ashford Urban Area Strategic map to recognise Conningbrook & Julie Rose Stadium as a sports and recreation hub (as shown below)</i></p> 
51	Appendix 2 – Evidence Base	Page 354 - List of Background Documents	Factual update	<p><i>Title has been amended to List of Background Documents. This list has also been updated to reflect additional supporting documents and library references.</i></p>

52	Page 295 Appendix 3 – List of housing site policies	Page 358	Factual update	<i>This list has been updated to reflect the deletion of Site Policy S50 – Caldecott, Smeeth and insertion of footnote: <u>*This does not Include assumed contribution from Neighbourhood Plans, or extant previous allocations/permissions as detailed within the Housing Trajectory.</u></i>
53	Appendix 4 – Green Infrastructure Assets	Page 360	Factual update	<i>Title amended to: Appendix 4 - Nationally and locally protected biodiversity designations</i>
54	Appendix 5 – Housing Trajectory	Page 363	Rep MCLP 895 and factual updates	<i>Amended to reflect factual updates and removal of Policy S50, Caldecott. (see next page)</i>

Ashford Local Plan 2030 - Housing Trajectory (Submission - December 2017)	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27	27/28	28/29	29/30	TOTAL
TOWN CENTRE SITES														
Former Powergen				222	189	189	60							660
Elwick Road Phase 2					100	100								200
Victoria Way East							115		100					215
Gasworks Lane (S10)												75	75	150
Commercial Quarter (TC9 and S1)						79	80							159
CHILMINGTON GREEN		50	150	200	200	200	200	200	250	250	250	250	300	2500
URBAN SITES														
Existing Allocations - Under construction														
Finberry (LP 2000)*	120	120	120	120	120	100	102							802
Repton Park (LP 2000)	113	100	100	81										394
Godinton Way (TC8)	52													52
Blackwall Road (U5)	25													25
Existing allocations - Not started														
Abbey Way (U1)			20											20
Lower Queens Road (U4 and S8)							40							40
Former Ashford South Primary School (U6a and S13)					50	60								110
K College, Jemmett Road (U6b and S12)		50	50	60										160
Leacon Road (U7 and S11)			50	50										100
Land at Butt Field Road, Singleton (U11)		14												14
Willesborough Lees (U14 and S17)		40	60	60	60									220
Conningbrook Phase 1 (U22)		50	50	50	75	75								300
Former Klondyke & Newtown Works Phase 2 (S6 and S7)						50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	350
New Urban Site allocations														
Land NE of Willesborough Road, Kennington (S2)			25	50	75	75	75	80	80	80	80	80	80	700
Court Lodge, Kingsnorth (S3)				50	90	90	90	90	100	110	110	110	110	950
Land north of Steeds Lane and Magpie Hall Road (S4)				50	60	60	60	60	55	55				400
Land South of Pound Lane, Kingsnorth (S5)			50	50	50									150
Kennard Way - Henwood (S9)			25											25
Park Farm South East (S14)			50	80	80	80	35							325
Finberry North West (S15)									75	75	75	75	75	300
Waterbrook (S16)			20	50	50	50	50	50	50	30				350
Conningbrook Residential Phase 2 (S19)							70	50	50					170
Eureka Park (S20)			50	80	80	80	50	35						375
Land South of Brockmans Lane, Bridgefield (S45)						50	50							100
Chart Road (S46)					25									25
A20 CORRIDOR SITES (New allocations)														
Land east of Hothfield Mill (S47)			25	50										75
Rear of Holiday Inn (S48)					50	50	50							150
Land north of Tutt Hill (S49)				25	50									75
RURAL SITES														
Existing Allocations - Under construction														
ALD1 - Aldington, Calleywell Lane	12													12
TENT1A - Tenterden Southern Extension Phase A	80	80	80	10										250
WYE1 - Wye, Land at Kelston	17													17
Existing allocations & sites - Not Started														
CHAR1 - Land South of Arthur Baker Playing Field, Charing (S29)		35												35
HAM2 - Land at Parker Farm, Hamstreet (S32)		10												10
ROLV1 - Rolvenden Football Ground, Rolvenden	20	20												40
TENT1B - Tenterden Southern Extension Phase B (S24)					70	80	75							225
WOOD1 - Land at Front Rd, Woodchurch (S40)		8												8
WYE2 - Land at Lucky Field, Wye		25												25
Major Windfall - Tenterden, Tilden Gill**		40	40	20										100
New Rural Site Allocations														
Aldington - Land north of Church View (S51)		10												10
Aldington - Land South of Goldwell Court (S52)			10	10										20
Appledore - The Street (S26)			20											20
Biddenden - North Street (S27)		20	25											45
Brook - Nats Lane (S53)		10												10
Challock - Land at Clockhouse (S54)		15												15
Charing - Northdown Service Station, Maidstone Road (S28)			20											20
Charing - Land adjacent to Poppyfields (S55)			30	75	75									180
Chilham - Branch Road (S56)		10												10
Egerton - Land on New Road (S30)		15												15
Hamstreet - Land north of St. Mary's Close (S31)			25	25	30									80
Hamstreet - Warehorne Road (S57)			25	25										50
High Halden - Land at Hope House (S33)			35											35
High Halden - Stevenson Bros. A28 (S58)			25	25										50
Hothfield - Land East of Coach Drive (S34)		20	20											40
Mersham - Land at Old Rectory Close (S59)			15											15
Mersham - Land adjacent to Village Hall (S35)		10												10
Shadoxhurst - Land rear of Kings Head PH (S36)		25												25
Smarden - Land adjacent to Village Hall (S37)		25	25											50
Smeeth - Land South of Church Road (S38)			20	15										35
Tenterden (St. Michaels) - Pope House Farm (S60)		25	25											50
Wittersham - Land between Lloyds Green and Jubilee Fields (S61)			20	20										40
Woodchurch - Land off Appledore Road (S62)			15	15										30
NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANS														
WYE3, Former Imperial College				25	25									50
Bethersden Neighbourhood Plan area			20	14										34
Pluckley Neighbourhood Plan area		25	8											33
Rolvenden Neighbourhood Plan area			20	10	10									40
WINDFALLS (NON ALLOCATED SITES)														
Windfalls - Small sites (Under 10 dwellings) - not started***		78	78	77										233
Windfalls - Small sites (Under 10 dwellings) - under construction	109													109
Windfalls - Major sites (10 dwellings or above) - not started***		72	72	72										216
Windfalls - Major sites (10 dwellings or above) - under construction	91													91
Projected Future windfalls (Based on assumption from past delivery)					150	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	950
ANNUAL TOTAL	639	1002	1518	1766	1764	1568	1352	715	910	750	665	740	585	13974
CUMULATIVE TOTAL	639	1641	3159	4925	6689	8257	9609	10324	11234	11984	12649	13389	13974	

LP 2000 = Ashford Borough Local Plan 2000 Site policy **Reduced to take account of 90 live/work units not being built. **Not counted in major windfall figures below. ***Extant permissions not started have been reduced by 25% for assumed non delivery