

Online Comments Form

Application Details

App No: 19/01788/AS

Location: Land between Woodchurch Road and, Appledore Road, Tenterden, Kent

Proposal: a) Outline application for the development of up to 250 residential dwellings (40% affordable) including the creation of access points from Appledore Road (all modes) and Woodchurch Road (pedestrian and cycle only), and creation of a network of roads, footways, and cycleways through the site. Provision of open space including children's play areas, community orchards, sustainable urban drainage systems, landscape buffers and green links all on 12.35 ha of the site. (Matters for approval: Access) b) Full planning permission for the change of land use from agricultural land to land to be used as a country park (8.66 ha), and land to be used as formal sports pitches (3.33 ha), together with pavilion to serve the proposal and the surrounding area. Including accesses, ancillary parking, pathways, sustainable urban drainage systems and associated landscaping.

Person and Comment Details

Name: Albert Poole

Address: Rose Cottage Appledore Road Tenterden Kent TN30 7AY

Action: Objecting

Created On: 10/03/2020 14:30:06

Comments

Historic Landscape Assessment and Archaeological Desk Based Assessment

Errors and Omissions

I wish to challenge the accuracy of the Historic Landscape Assessment, HLA, by RPS and the Archaeological Desk Based Assessment ADBA by CgMs Heritage.

I have recently written to the Senior Archaeological Officer at Kent County Council to express these concerns.

I do feel that the RPS and CgMs Heritage reports commissioned by Wates lack any level of Independence and their conclusions regarding the siting of Gallows Green comply with the view held by Wates. There is no evidence presented which can substantiate what they have suggested. These are opinions. Par 6.6 of ADBA goes on to claim that HER data is superseded by the information in the ADBA and HLA.

This statement cannot possibly be accepted.

RPS and CgMs Heritage are the same company which is clear from their websites. The Historic Landscape Assessment report was reviewed and approved by the same person who also edited the CgMs Heritage

Archaeological Desk Based assessment.

Wates input into the RPS Document is indicated by the reviews in October and December by Judith Ashton.

In my previous objection dated 27th January 2020 on the planning portal I have identified errors in the information presented by RPS.

I would like contest the validity of another statement in the HLA.

Paragraph 4.3.10 of HLA refers to : the 1769 Andrews and Dury map annotates 'Gallows Green' against "what appears to be a footpath." This map is Plate 3 attached to my previous objection dated 27th January 2020

Further inspection of this map reveals that the dotted line referred to, is in fact the boundary of Tenterden Hundred with Rolvenden Hundred. These were administrative divisions of a larger region.

The full title of the Andrews, Dury and Herbert Map is

' A Topographical Map of the County of Kent in Twenty Five sheets on a scale of two inches to a mile, from an Actual Survey in which are expressed all the Roads, Lane, Churches, Town, Villages, Noblemen and Gentlemen Seats, Roman Roads, Hills, Rivers, Woods, Cottages & everything Remarkable in the County, together with the Division of the Lathes & their Subdivision into Hundreds"

These maps were a matter of subscription, a common practice in the 18th Century.

Hasted's History and Topographical Survey of the County of Kent Volume V11 contains Maps of the Hundreds of Tenterden, Blackborne, Oxney , Ham and the Hundreds of Cranbrooke, Barkley and Rolvenden. All these maps are available in Kent History and Library Centre, which I viewed recently and have copies.

These maps clearly show the boundary between Tenterden Hundred and Rolvenden Hundred through the application site. This shows that much of the southern side of the site is within the Tenterden Hundred. This boundary must have some significance and is surely a Heritage issue.

It is surprising that neither of the Wates application Heritage related documents made any reference to the Hundred Maps and in particular the Hasted Maps associated with this area which include the Application Site

The fact that the Andrews , Dury and Herbert Map shows Gallows Green indicates it was classed as remarkable. This map also included the hundreds and their boundaries.

I cannot accept that Gallows Green is not significant and this site should be respected. It is of importance to the Town and the County as it is shown both on the Andrews Dury and Herbert Map of Kent and the Tithe

Map of Tenterden 1843.

It may be inconvenient to Wates , but should not be allowed to be lost along with the Tenterden Hundreds boundary under their housing estate or any other development in the future.

I will continue to challenge Wates over the issue of Gallows Green and the Boundary of the Tenterden Hundred.

I will continue my research and there may be other historians who read this and can support this research to ensure that Wates properly consider the heritage value of Limes Land.